

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Matthew Glen Wheeler et al.

Application No.: 10/575,983

Group No.: 2863

Filed: April 17, 2006

Examiner: Michael P. Nghiem

For: DIAGNOSTIC APPARATUS AND METHODS FOR A CORIOLIS FLOW  
METER

Mail Stop AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY

*Applicant submits this telephone interview summary to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), and according to the requirements listed in MPEP § 713.04. Applicant submits this telephone interview summary in advance of the September 10, 2009 deadline given by the Examiner in the Interview Summary mailed on August 10, 2009.*

Date/Type of Interview: Telephone interview conducted on August 6, 2009.

Examiner: Michael P. Nghiem

Name of Applicant's attorney: Gregg Jansen

Exhibits shown or demonstrations conducted: none

Claims discussed:

Applicant telephone Examiner Nghiem and left a voice message asking why dependent 6-8, 10, and 14-16 were rejected differently than dependent claims 22-24, 26, and 30-33, even though they claimed approximately the same subject matter. Dependent claims 22-24, 26, and 30-33 were indicated to be allowable in the final Office Action.

Examiner Nghiem returned the telephone call on August 6, 2009. When Applicant reiterated the question, Examiner Nghiem asserted that dependent claims

3, 4, and 6-16 were not indicated to also be allowable because they were rejected under 35 U.S.C § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Prior art discussed: none

General thrust of Examiner's arguments: none

General thrust of Applicant's arguments: none

Agreement reached and general nature of the agreement: none

Proposed amendments: none

Other pertinent matters: none

Date:

8/26/09

  
SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Gregg Jansen, Reg. No. 46,799  
The Ollila Law Group LLC  
Telephone: (303) 938-9999 ext. 14  
Facsimile: (303) 938-9995

Correspondence address:

CUSTOMER NO. 32827